75

23 Jan 70

Ch, IEG-

read the joint OSI-OSR draft on "Large Mounded Strongoints"

(SAWRFS). I did so, and found that it does not add any details of Knowledge beyond what we already Know. The draft that I saw concludes that the "LAMS" are (is?) for defense part of an extensive program to defend certain strateoic areas against ground attack.

2. OSR did provide me with

the attached list of questions

which they had framed in preparation

for coverace, and

which include some of the more

important on-coinc questions, from

their point of view.

Declass Review by NIMA/DOD

Approved For Release 2004/02/11 : CIA-RDP78B05703A000100020051-7

25

- 1. Does the new photography show anything new or different at any of the LAMS.
- 2. What is the function of the dome-like structures observed on top of the vertical shafts at each end of the long axis of the LAMS?
- 3. Examine corridor entrances on the "threat side" of the LAMS for weapons emplacements or additional construction features suggesting a function other than weapon positions.
- 4. Are the structures positioned at the main corridor entrances on the threat side of the LAMS identical at each of the LAMS? If not, how many variants are there and how do they differ in size and shape?
- 5. What is the relationship, if any, of the smaller "H" and "Y" structures to the nearby Peking LAMS?

6. Examine "	ooat-shaped"	structures r	eported
	near Peking	ESE LAMS, at	the junction
of the Grand Canal	and the Peki	ng-Tientsin	road. Are
these structures si	imilar to or	the same as	associated
structures seen in	the vicinity	of other LA	MS?

25X1

25X1

сору но.

- 7. In addition to the increased size of corridor sections at the Tientsin LAMS, are there other construction features that differ from practices seen at older LAMS?
- 8. Does it appear that all the LAMS ultimately have weapon positions between the moat and the mound and along the inner edge of the moat similar to those observed at Shuang-ch'eng-tzu #1?

- 2 -